

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/850,324	05/07/2001	William F. McDonald	044829-0127	1711
7	7590 10/23/2003		EXAMINER WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
FOLEY & LA	ARDNER			
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKE	, WI 53202-5306	·	1711	· · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(w						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/850,324	MCDONALD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ana L. Woodward	1711				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External afternal aftern	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/24	/01, 4/18/03, 7/25/03, 9/15/03 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
		nding in the application					
7)	Claim(s) <u>1-25,45,47,49-51 and 53-61</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-16,20-25,57,59 and 61</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9,17-19,45,47,49-51,53-56,58 and 60</u> is/are rejected.						
8)□							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>O</u>	Lasel 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/850,324

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group I with the preferred ultimate species comprising 1) the polymer synthesized from maleic acid mono-ethyl ether, tetradecylamine, and pentaethylenehexamine, 2) the copper metal antimicrobial agent and 3) the tetrakis-(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride crosslinking agent, as per Paper filed July 25, 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 10-16, 20-25, 57, 59 and 61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper filed July 25, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 18, 53-56, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Application/Control Number: 09/850,324

Art Unit: 1711

claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, fails to provide express support for the newly added subject matter of the above-rejected claims. Since no express support has been identified or found, such is deemed New Matter.

5. Claims 1-9, 17-19, 45, 47, 49-51, 53-56, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed terminology "selected from" constitutes improper Markush group format and renders the claimed subject indefinite.

In claim 2, the language "wherein multiple of the R, R, R, and R, are in vertically aligned spaced relationship along a backbone formed by the polyamide" is indefinite as to scope and meaning.

In claim 2, it is unclear as to whether or not the first and second amines are the same or different.

In claims 17 and 53, the term "general" is indefinite.

In claim 18, it is unclear how the "tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphine" is definitive of the (A)₂P formula of claim 17.

In claims 45, 47, 49 and 53, the metes and bounds of the "R" group of the amine are indeterminate in scope.

In claims 45, 47 and 49, the substitution of a portion of the R groups with an amino group is not understood. Is said amino group in addition to the terminal amino group in R-NH?

In claims 45, 47 and 49, it is unclear as to whether "the amine" of part (ii) is the same as the amine of part (i).

Application/Control Number: 09/850,324

Art Unit: 1711

In claim 54, line 2, "ether" as opposed to "ester" is questioned.

In claim 60, it is unclear how the "tetrakis(hydroxylmethyl)phosphine" is definitive of the (A), P(B) formula of claim 53.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-9, 17-19, 45, 47, 49-51, 53-56, 58 and 60 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/068,054. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they contain overlapping subject matter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (383) 305-8183.

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner

Art Unit 1711